

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/392,024	09/08/1999	BRUCE L. RISER	FG0810	9469
7:	590 04/08/2002			
MARIETTE A LAPIZ			EXAMINER	
FIBROGEN IN 225 GATEWA	Y BLVD		NOLAN, PATRICK J	
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	8/-
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2002	00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Office Action Summary

09/392,024

Patrick J. Nolan

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1644

Riser et al.



,	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
af - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO co - Failur	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory immunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
ea	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status 1) ⊠	Personsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 8, 20	002		
2a) ∐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action is non-final.			
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is earte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-13	is/are withdrawn from consideration		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 14, 15, and 17	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requireme		
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved bl disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p \Box All b) \Box Some* c) \Box None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No		
* 0	application from the International Bure			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(e).		
Attachm	nent(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 🔀 li	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13	20) Other:		

Serial Number: 09/392,024

Art Unit: 1644

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is a continuation-in-part of 60/099,471 and 60/112,855.

- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending.
- 3. Claims 1-13 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected inventions, for reasons set forth in Paper No. 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 14 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 96/38172, of record for reasons set forth in Paper No. 12.

Applicant's argument's filed 1-8-02 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicant argues the `172 patent doesn't teach detecting a kidney disorder characterized by overproduction of extracellular matrix

However, the `172 patent does teach detecting kidney fibrosis by detecting CTGF levels and comparing them to a standard (see page 3 and 7, in particular. Kidney fibrosis is a disease cause by a fibroblasts cell proliferative disorder in which increased levels of extra-cellular matrix are formed by said cell proliferating fibroblasts, as recognized by Applicant's own specification.

5. Claims 14 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Ito et al (U), of record, for reasons set forth in Paper No. 12.

Applicant's arguments filed 1-8-02 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicant argues that Ito does not teach increased levels of CTGF protein.

The claims are not limited to CTGF protein, just CTGF.

Serial Number: 09/392,024

Art Unit: 1644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103° and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Ito et al., or WO 96/38172, all of record for reasons set forth in Paper No. 12.

Applicant's arguments filed 1-8-02 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Applicant since Ito et al., and the `172 patent are not proper prior art, claim 17 can not been obvious in view of them.

See the Examiner's responses supra.

- 7. Applicant is notified that claim 16 and 18 are free of the art.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period,

Serial Number: 09/392,024

Art Unit: 1644

then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Nolan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-3973. The FAX number for our group, 1644, is (703) 305-7939. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner, Group 1640

April 7, 2002

4